IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 24TH AUGUST 2007 / 2ND BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 32721 of 2006(U)

PETITIONER:

M.R.DAS, RTD.CHIEF MATERIALS MANAGER (NTPC), S/O.LATE MADHAVAN PILLAI, AGED 68 YEARS, VINSA VILLA, NEAR GOVT EAST HIGH SCHOOL, KIZHAKKEKARA, P.O.MUVATTUPUZHA-686 661, DIST. ERNAKULAM (KERALA).

BY ADV. SRI.P.GEORGE WILLIAM

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. NATIONAL THERMAL POWER CORPORATION, GOVT. OF INDIA ENTERPRISE, REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN CUM MANAGING DIRECTOR, NTPC, SCOBE COMPLEX, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, NEW DELHI-110 003.

2. CHIEF FINANCE MANAGER, NATIONAL THERMAL POWER CORPORATION, KAYAMKULAM, RAJEEV GANDHI COMBINED CYCLE POWER PROJECT, P.O.CHOOLATHERUVU, DIST. ALAPPUZHA-690 506.

3. THE GENERALA MANAGER, NTPC LTD., KAWAS GAS POWER PROJECT, P.O.KAWAS, DIST. SURAT (GUJARAT).

4. THE GENERAL MANAGER, NTPC, KHALGAON SUPER THERMAL POWER STATION, P.O.KHALGAON-813 214, DIST.BHAGALPUR (BIHAR).

5. THE GENERAL MANAGER, NTPC, RAMAGUNTAM SUPER THERMAL POWER STATION, P.O.JYOTHINAGAR 505 215, DIST. KARIMNAGAR (AP).

BY ADV. SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN (SR.)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 24/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1	TRUE COPY OF ACCOUNT CIRCULAR NO.1-34 DTD NIL.
EXT.P1A	TRUE COPY OF THE ACCOUNT CIRUCLAR NO.1-70 DTD.28.10.97.
EXT.P2	TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT IN WP(C)33711/2005.
EXT.P3	TRUE COPY OF LETTER DTD.12.5.2006 TO THE FIRST RESPONDENT.
EXT.P4	TRUE COPY OF LETTER DTD.12.5.2006 TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.
EXT.P5	TRUE COPY OF LETTER DTD.12.5.2006 TO THE THIRD RESPONDENT.
EXT.P6	TRUE COPY OF LETTER DTD.25.9.2006 TO THE 4TH RESPONDENT..
EAXT.P7	TRUE COPY OF LETTER DTD.25.9.2006 TO THE 5TH RESPONDENT.
EXT.P8	TRUE COPY OF REPLY DTD.7.8.2006 BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE

S.SIRI JAGAN,J.

W.P.(C) NO.32721 of 2006

Dated this the 24th day of August, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a retired Chief Materials Manager of Government of India. His grievance is that he is not being considered for award of the work of physical verification of assets/stores and stocks of the first respondent Corporation. At the same time outside agency of Chartered Accountants Firm or other strangers were allowed to do the work, which, according to the petitioner, is against Ext.P2 judgment of this Court. Ext.P2 judgment has been passed in a writ petition filed by the petitioner himself in 2005.

2. The learned standing counsel appearing for the first respondent submits that, at present, the first respondent does not intend to outsource the work of physical verification. Further, the claim of the petitioner can be considered only in accordance with the guidelines prescribed for the purposes.

3. Having heard both sides, I direct that the direction in Ext.P2 judgment shall apply in respect of future work also. If the first respondent intends to outsource the work, the same shall also be done in accordance with the guidelines prescribed by the first respondent for that purposes.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

css/

:2:

:3: